United Nations A/AC.254/34

General Assembly

Distr.: General
11 September 2000

Original: English

Ad Hoc Committee on the Elaboration of a Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
Eleventh session
Vienna, 2-27 October 2000

Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Elaboration of a Convention against Transnational Organized Crime on its tenth session, held in Vienna from 17 to 28 July 2000

Contents

		Paragraphs	Page
I.	Introduction	1-2	2
II.	Organization of the session	3-12	2
	A. Opening of the session	3-8	2
	B. Attendance	9	3
	C. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work	10-11	3
	D. Documentation	12	3
III.	Finalization and approval of the draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime	13-15	4
IV.	Draft resolution on the adoption of the Convention for consideration and action by the General Assembly at its fifty-fifth session	16-17	4
V.	Adoption of the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on its tenth session	18-37	4

Annexes

I.	List of participants	9
II.	List of documents before the Ad Hoc Committee at its tenth session	16

V.00-56920 (E)

I. Introduction

1. The Ad Hoc Committee on the Elaboration of a Convention against Transnational Organized Crime was established by the General Assembly in its resolution 53/111 of 9 December 1998.

2. In its resolution 54/126 of 17 December 1999, the General Assembly requested the Ad Hoc Committee to continue its work, in accordance with resolutions 53/111 and 53/114 of 9 December 1998, and to intensify its work in order to complete it in 2000.

II. Organization of the session

A. Opening of the session

3. The Ad Hoc Committee on the Elaboration of a Convention against Transnational Organized Crime held its tenth session in Vienna from 17 to 28 July 2000. The Ad Hoc Committee held 23 meetings.

4. At its ninth session, held in Vienna from 5 to 16 June 2000, the Ad Hoc Committee had decided that its tenth session would be devoted to the finalization and approval of the draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime for submission to the General Assembly at its fifty-fifth session for adoption in accordance with Assembly resolution 54/126.

5. Also at its ninth session, the Chairman had requested all regional groups to appoint representatives to form a group that would be asked, at the tenth session of the Ad Hoc Committee, to ensure consistency of the text in all official languages of the United Nations.

6. The representative of Peru, speaking on behalf of the Group of Latin American and Caribbean States, noted with satisfaction that the Ad Hoc Committee wished to conclude the negotiation of the draft Convention at its tenth session. The members of the Group were of the view that that objective could be achieved. They attached great importance to the work of the consistency group that the Chairman had asked to be established at the tenth session. It was essential to ensure that the consistency group be open to any interested delegation. The consistency group should examine each article together with its explanatory notes for the official records of the negotiations (the *travaux préparatoires*). The members of the Group also attached importance to the negotiation of the three draft protocols to the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and were of the view that the Ad Hoc Committee, at its eleventh session, should devote equal attention to each of the draft protocols. The Group hoped that negotiations would be brought to a successful conclusion by 28 July 2000 and that the final text of the draft Convention would be endorsed by all delegations.

7. The Secretary recalled that, at the ninth session of the Ad Hoc Committee, the Group of Eastern European States had decided to appoint the representatives of the Russian Federation and Slovakia to the consistency group. He announced the following other appointments to the consistency group: the representatives of Cuba, Colombia, Guatemala and Mexico, appointed by the Group of Latin American and Caribbean States; the representatives of China, India, Japan and Jordan, appointed by the Group of Asian and Pacific States; the representatives of Cameroon, Egypt, Morocco, Nigeria and South Africa, appointed by the Group of African States; and the representatives of France, Italy,

Spain and the United States of America, appointed by the Group of Western European and Other States. The Secretary also informed the Ad Hoc Committee that the consistency group would be assisted in its work by an editor, by translators from the translation section for each official language and by a member of the secretariat of the Ad Hoc Committee.

8. The Chairman informed the Ad Hoc Committee that he had asked the representative of Mexico to act as coordinator of the consistency group.

B. Attendance

9. The tenth session of the Ad Hoc Committee was attended by representatives of 121 States. Also attending the tenth session were observers for organizations of the United Nations system, institutes of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network, intergovernmental organizations and non-governmental organizations. A list of participants is contained in annex I to the present report.

C. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work

10. At its 155th meeting, on 17 July 2000, the Ad Hoc Committee adopted the following agenda for its tenth session:

1. Opening of the tenth session of the Ad Hoc Committee.
2. Adoption of the agenda and organization of work.
3. Finalization and approval of the draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime.
4. Draft resolution on the adoption of the Convention for consideration and action by the General Assembly at its fifty-fifth session.
5. Adoption of the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on its tenth session.

11. The representative of Mexico suggested that the proposed organization of work of the tenth session of the Ad Hoc Committee (A/AC.254/32, annex) be amended to reflect the fact that the Ad Hoc Committee would consider the whole of article 10 of the draft Convention and not only paragraphs 11-15 thereof. The Ad Hoc Committee adopted the proposed organization of work as amended.

D. Documentation

12. At its tenth session, the Ad Hoc Committee had before it, in addition to the documents prepared by the Secretariat, documents containing proposals and contributions submitted by the Governments of Algeria, Azerbaijan, Canada, China, Colombia, Egypt, France, India, Mexico, the Netherlands, Peru, Turkey and the United States of America, and by the European Commission and the European Union. A list of documents is contained in annex II to the present report.

III. Finalization and approval of the draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime

13. At its 155th-176th meetings, on 17 to 27 July, the Ad Hoc Committee considered and finalized all the articles of the draft Convention. It based its work on the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (A/AC.254/4/Rev.9) and on proposals and contributions submitted by Governments (A/AC.254/5/Add.20, A/AC.254/5/Add.23, A/AC.254/5/Add.25, A/AC.254/L.190, A/AC.254/L.213, A/AC.254/L.214, A/AC.254/L.216-219, A/AC.254/L.221, A/AC.254/L.223, A/AC.254/L.225 and A/AC.254/L.226). The Ad Hoc Committee also had before it revisions of and amendments to the draft Convention prepared by informal working groups at the request of the Chairman (A/AC.254/L.220, A/AC.254/L.222 and A/AC.254/L.233).

14. The consistency group held 14 meetings, on 18 to 27 July 2000, and reviewed all the articles of the draft Convention. Its recommendations were incorporated into the final text of the draft Convention and submitted to the Ad Hoc Committee for consideration.

15. At its 177th meeting, on 28 July, the Ad Hoc Committee approved the draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and decided to submit it to the General Assembly for consideration and action at its fifty-fifth session, in accordance with Assembly resolution 54/126 (see A/55/383).

IV. Draft resolution on the adoption of the Convention for consideration and action by the General Assembly at its fifty-fifth session

16. At its 173rd and 175th-177th meetings, on 26 to 28 July, the Ad Hoc Committee considered a draft resolution submitted by the Chairman, entitled "United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime" (A/AC.254/L.224/Rev.1). The Ad Hoc Committee also had before it proposals for amendments to the draft resolution submitted by Governments (A/AC.254/L.228, A/AC.254/L.229 and A/AC.254/L.232), the European Union (A/AC.254/L.231) and the Chairman (A/AC.254/L.234).

17. At its 177th meeting, on 28 July, the Ad Hoc Committee approved the draft resolution, as orally amended, on the understanding that the text of the draft resolution (A/AC.254/L.230/Add.3) would be finalized by the Ad Hoc Committee at its eleventh session, in order to take into account the results of that session with respect to the draft protocols, and submitted to the General Assembly for consideration and action at its fifty-fifth session.

V. Adoption of the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on its tenth session

18. At the 177th meeting, on 28 July, the representative of Turkey requested the approval of the report of the Ad Hoc Committee on its tenth session paragraph by paragraph. Prior to the approval of paragraph 15, the representative of Turkey stated that his country considered the draft Convention a valuable tool in fighting organized crime, in line with

Turkey's consistent determination and support for bilateral and multilateral cooperation in combating that phenomenon. For that reason, Turkey had attributed great importance to the development of the draft Convention and had actively participated in the process from its initial stages. Turkey had been confident until the final phase of the negotiation that the Convention would cover all aspects of transnational organized crime. Turkey's experience had demonstrated that there were evident links between terrorist crimes and organized crime. Those links had been established at the World Ministerial Conference on Organized Transnational Crime, held in Naples, Italy, in 1994. Turkey therefore believed that the reflection of those dangerous links in the text of the Convention would better serve that instrument's purposes. Unfortunately, and despite the efforts of several delegations to draw attention to those links through constructive and concrete proposals, the links had been consciously omitted from the final text of the draft Convention. The result was not satisfactory to Turkey, because the Convention would leave loopholes that criminals might exploit. Nevertheless, Turkey did not intend to block consensus on the approval of the draft Convention. Following careful evaluation, its competent authorities would decide whether Turkey would sign the Convention. Prior to concluding his statement, the representative of Turkey thanked the Chairman and the secretariat for all their tireless efforts to conclude the work of the Ad Hoc Committee.

19. Also at its 177th meeting, the Ad Hoc Committee adopted the report on its tenth session (A/AC.254/L.230).[1]

20. At the same meeting, the Ad Hoc Committee approved the provisional agenda and proposed organization of work for its eleventh session, to be held in Vienna from 2 to 27 October 2000 (A/AC.254/L.230/Add.4).[2]

21. In approving the provisional agenda and proposed organization of work for its eleventh session, the Ad Hoc Committee decided that its eleventh session would be devoted to the finalization and approval of the additional international legal instrument against illicit manufacturing of and trafficking in firearms, their parts and components and ammunition, the additional international legal instrument against trafficking in persons, especially women and children, and the additional international legal instrument against illegal trafficking in and transporting of migrants for submission to the General Assembly at its fifty-fifth session for adoption in accordance with resolution 54/126.

22. Following the adoption of the report, the representative of Lebanon requested that it reflect the reservations expressed by his country regarding article 7, paragraph 6, on confiscation and seizure and article 14, paragraph 8, on mutual legal assistance, of the draft Convention.

23. The Chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee expressed his gratitude to all delegations for displaying throughout the negotiation process a spirit of cooperation and mutual understanding and for working together in the constructive manner that had made the achievement of the ambitious task of the Ad Hoc Committee possible. The Chairman thanked the Rapporteur, the Secretary of the Ad Hoc Committee and the staff of the Centre for International Crime Prevention. He also thanked the interpreters and conference officers for their support to the Ad Hoc Committee. The Chairman further expressed the gratitude of the Ad Hoc Committee to all States that had made voluntary contributions throughout the negotiation process to the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Fund, thus facilitating the work of the Ad Hoc Committee and making possible the attendance of a number of representatives of least developed countries.

24. The representative of Italy informed delegations of the preparations for the High-level Political Signing Conference, to be held in Palermo, Italy, from 12 to 15 December 2000, in accordance with General Assembly resolution 54/129 of 17 December 1999. Pursuant to that resolution, consultations on the draft agenda of the Conference would be organized by the Secretariat in Vienna in September.

25. The representative of Uruguay, speaking on behalf of the Group of 77 and China, expressed satisfaction at the completion of the work of the Ad Hoc Committee on the draft Convention. The good will of all delegations had enabled the achievement of general consensus. He was confident that the Convention would enter into force quickly. The Group of 77 and China wished to reiterate the importance of reinforcing technical and economic cooperation internationally, as a means of giving States the possibility to fulfil all the obligations arising from the Convention. The representative of Uruguay called upon the Committee to focus on the completion of the three additional protocols. The Group of 77 and China, as had been stressed on previous occasions, would strive to that end in order to fulfil the mandate given to the Ad Hoc Committee by the General Assembly. Nevertheless, time constraints should not be allowed to compromise the quality of the texts, which should be transparent and universally accepted. Finally, the representative expressed the Group's appreciation to the Chairman, the other members of the Bureau, the consistency group and the secretariat for the support provided to the work of the Ad Hoc Committee.

26. The representative of Mexico, speaking on behalf of the Group of Latin American and Caribbean States, offered the Group's congratulations to the Ad Hoc Committee on the completion of its work on the draft Convention. The Group had once again demonstrated its political will to arrive at compromise solutions, convinced of the importance of reinforcing international cooperation against transnational organized crime. The approval of the draft Convention by consensus demonstrated that the draft text had taken into account the concerns of all States. The Convention represented the most important development of international criminal law since the adoption of the United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances of 1988.[3] The members of the Group hoped that after its adoption by the General Assembly and its signature in Palermo, the Convention would enter into force quickly. The challenge facing the Ad Hoc Committee now was the conclusion of the drafting of the three protocols. The members of the Group were confident that the same spirit of compromise would prevail in achieving that task. The representative of Mexico expressed the gratitude of the members of the Group to the Chairman, the members of the Bureau, the representatives who had chaired more informal meetings, the Centre for International Crime Prevention and conference service staff, as well as to the Secretary of the Ad Hoc Committee.

27. The representative of Pakistan associated himself with the statement of the representative of Uruguay on behalf of the Group of 77 and China and expressed his deepest appreciation to those delegations who had specific concerns but had displayed a remarkable spirit of accommodation, thus making it possible for the Ad Hoc Committee to approve the draft Convention by consensus.

28. The representative of Egypt wished to place on record his country's position on the work of the Ad Hoc Committee at its tenth session and on the draft Convention. Egypt had participated, with all commitment and responsibility, in all stages of the negotiation process, pursuing the common objective of fighting against a very serious criminal phenomenon affecting, to varying degrees, all countries of the world. Acting on the principle that, in preparing an international convention, the concerns of some were the

concerns of all, Egypt had repeatedly called for including in the Convention a clear and express reference to the growing relationship between transnational organized crime and terrorist crimes. That plea was in conformity with various United Nations instruments that had affirmed that fact, including resolution 4 of the Ninth United Nations Congress on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders. Egypt had demonstrated great flexibility regarding the way in which such a reference would be embodied in the Convention and had expected that such flexibility would have been met with a greater degree of understanding, so that the Convention, being of worldwide scope, would reflect the concerns of all States. The representative of Egypt expressed his deep regret at the deliberate omission from the text of the Convention of a serious dimension of transnational organized crime, represented in the link between such crime and terrorism. He was certain that such a lacuna constituted a basic shortcoming in the Convention, which would weaken international cooperation in containing and eliminating the phenomenon of terrorism and might prevent the Convention from becoming an influential element of the international legal system that served the interests of all. Finally, the representative of Egypt thanked all the delegations, who had spared no effort in reaching compromise formulas that had had a great effect in supporting the work of the Committee.

29. The representative of the Netherlands expressed his satisfaction for the successful conclusion of the development of the Convention and his confidence that the Ad Hoc Committee would fulfil its mandate by completing the negotiation of the three additional protocols at its eleventh session. He announced that his Government would make a voluntary contribution of $25,000 to the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Fund in support of the work of the Ad Hoc Committee at its eleventh session.

30. The representative of France, speaking on behalf of the States members of the European Union that are Members of the United Nations, expressed her satisfaction at the approval of the draft Convention by consensus. That was a success belonging to all delegations, who had managed to find formulas to reconcile different aspects of legal systems and to ensure that all concerns were adequately and appropriately reflected in the final text. The representative of France expressed her appreciation to the Chairman, members of the Bureau and the secretariat for the support they had provided to the Ad Hoc Committee. She was confident that the Ad Hoc Committee would be able to comply fully with its mandate by finalizing the three additional protocols at its eleventh session, through the continued collective good will of all States.

31. The representative of the Syrian Arab Republic, speaking on behalf of the Group of Arab States, emphasized the participation of all Arab States in the negotiation process. Such participation and interest were underpinned by the conviction of being engaged and actively contributing to achieving a common goal that was in the interest of the international community. The representative also expressed his appreciation to the Chairman, members of the Bureau and the secretariat for their support.

32. The representative of Thailand, speaking on behalf of the States members of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN) that are Members of the United Nations, expressed his appreciation to the Chairman for his able leadership, which had made possible the conclusion of the work of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Convention. He also extended his gratitude to the representative of Pakistan for his efforts as Vice-Chairman of the Ad Hoc Committee and to the secretariat of the Committee for the support provided to all delegations. Once implemented, the Convention would enhance existing bilateral or multilateral cooperation and thus bridge the gaps that had to date been exploited by organized criminal groups. The success of the Ad Hoc Committee should

motivate all delegations to pursue vigorously the conclusion of the three additional protocols at the eleventh session of the Ad Hoc Committee.

33. The representative of Nigeria, speaking on behalf of the Group of African States, stated that dealing with the global problem of transnational organized crime required structural cooperation, in whose framework those with capacity would render technical assistance and training to those with insufficient expertise and resources. It was the hope of the members of the Group that the provisions of the Convention designed to enhance capacity-building would soon be implemented. This should be without prejudice to existing foreign assistance commitments to the countries concerned.

34. The representative of Indonesia, speaking on behalf of the Group of Asian and Pacific States, thanked the Chairman, the members of the Bureau and the secretariat and called for flexibility, which was necessary in achieving the goal of finalizing the three additional protocols at the eleventh session of the Ad Hoc Committee.

35. The representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran joined previous speakers in expressing the profound appreciation of his delegation to the Chairman, the members of the Bureau and the Chairman of the consistency group. The approved text of the Convention might not fully satisfy all delegations. However, in multilateral negotiations on a Convention of such a high magnitude and sensitivity, all delegations were bound to exercise a degree of sacrifice and indulgence to achieve a lofty goal. That goal had been achieved thanks to the collective good will and efforts of all delegations. The representative of the Islamic Republic of Iran concluded by thanking the secretariat for the support extended to all delegations in the past two years and expressing his hope that the same level of support would enable the Ad Hoc Committee to fulfil its task of completing the three additional protocols.

36. The representative of Algeria expressed his satisfaction with the results of the work of the Ad Hoc Committee at its tenth session and the approval of the draft Convention. While sharing the views expressed by Egypt, he called upon all delegations to spare no effort in ensuring the success of the work on the development of a comprehensive convention against terrorism, which was due to begin in New York in September.

37. The representative of South Africa thanked the Chairman and other members of the Bureau for their perseverance and the secretariat for its professionalism. He was convinced that the new Convention would significantly strengthen cooperation against organized criminal groups and looked forward to the successful completion of the three additional protocols at the eleventh session of the Ad Hoc Committee.

Notes

[1] To be issued subsequently as document A/AC.254/34.

[2] To be issued subsequently as document A/AC.254/35.

[3] *Official Records of the United Nations Conference for the Adoption of a Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, Vienna, 25 November -20 December 1988*, vol. I (United Nations publication, Sales No. E.94.XI.5).

Annex I

List of participants

States

Afghanistan	Farid A. Amin
Algeria	Mokhtar Reguieg, Linda Briza, Mokhtar Lakhdari, Abdelkader Errouane, Mohamed Sereir, Mohamed Khelili
Angola	Aniceto Miguel da Costa Aragão, Manuel Maria Gomes, Miranda José Lando, Julio Helder Moura Lucas, João António Milekamene, António Cícero Melo dos Santos
Argentina	Juan de Lezica, Eduardo Tempone, Beatriz Vivas de Lezica
Australia	Steven Marshall, Helen Stylianou
Austria	Irene Freudenschuss-Reichl, Hans-Peter Manz, Fritz Zeder, Christian Manquet, Ulrike Kathrein, Barbara Fuernkranz, Hans Haller, Christian Fellner, Elisabeth Marschang, Christian Ebner
Azerbaijan	Vaqif Sadiqov, Fikrat Axundov, Rufat Mansurov, Zavar Qafarov
Bangladesh	Ismat Jahan
Barbados	David Simmons, Lionel Nurse, Nicole Dianne Clarke
Belarus	Stepan Kosuha, Viktar Gaisenak, Olga Zvereva
Belgium	Michel Adam, Denise De Hauwere, Hubert Roisin, J. S. Jamart, Wouter Boucique, Tina Scholiers,Claire Scohier
Benin	Antoine Gouhouede, Thierry Damase Ogoubi
Bolivia	Jaime Niño de Guzmán Q., Juan Ignacio Siles
Brazil	Sergio de Queiroz Duarte, Luiz Paulo Teles Barreto, Luis Ivaldo Villafañe Gomes Santos
Bulgaria	Traiko Spasov
Burkina Faso	Thomas Sanon, Thomas Dakouré, Adama Ouedraogo, Wéta Zingue, Ousmane Traore
Burundi	Fulgence Ruberintwari
Cambodia	You Ay
Cameroon	Egbe Achuo Hillman, Bisseck Raphael, Tehane Levi, Enama Atangana, Eheth Salomon, Jean Gabriel Yay, Ela Emmanuel Thierry, Ndimba Wenceslas de Boheme, Bahanag Bahanag
Canada	Keith Morrill, Alan Morgan, Susan Spénard, Barbara Kothé
Cape Verde	Carla Miranda Spinola
Chile	Raimundo Gonzalez, Patricio Powell, Luis Plaza, Rosa Melendez
China	Li Yanduan, Liu Yinghai, Xu Hong, Diao Mingsheng, Liu Guoxiang, Zang Tiewei, Zhang Yi, Hu Bin, Wu Haiwen

Colombia	Héctor Charry Samper, Edgar Lombana Trujillo, Carlos Rodriguez Bocanegra, Diana Patricia Mejia Molina, Juliana Bustamante Reyes
Comoros	Mirhane Bourhane
Costa Rica	Roger Carvajal Bonilla, Eduardo Soto Chavarria, Stella Aviram Neuman
Côte d'Ivoire	Jérôme Klôh Weya, Edmé Koffi
Croatia	Mario Nobilo, Marijana Kujundžić
Cuba	Pablo Rodríguez Vidal, Aurora Gramatges López, José Cala Sagué
Cyprus	Nicolaos D. Macris, Antonios Theocharous
Czech Republic	Pavel Vacek, Nicole Petrikovitsová, Petr Solský, Petr Rybák, Milan Dufek, Pavel Šturma, Jaroslav Štěpánek
Denmark	Flemming Denker, Susanne Stantorp, Rikke Freil Schaumann
Djibouti	Omar Houssein Hassan
Ecuador	Patricio Palacios Cevallos, Juan Holguín
Egypt	Sameh Hassan Shoukry, Ibrahim Khairat, Iskandar Ghattas, Nashat El Hilaly, Abdel Maguid Mahmoud, Soliman Abdel Moneim, Ashraf Mohsen, Tarek Mohamed Sarwat, Yasser El Atawi
Finland	Tom Grönberg, Jaakko Halttunen, Matti Joutsen, Pia Rantala, Sanna Heikinheimo, Erkki Hämäläinen
France	Bérengère Quincy, Alain Moureau, Philippe Delacroix, Claudine Jacob, Jacques Lajoie, Delphine Lida, Anne Delahaie, Catherine Thony, Yves Godiveau, Alain Damais
Gambia	Reuben N. Phillott
Georgia	Levan Mikeladze, Zurab Chapidze
Germany	Hans Peter Plischka, Karl Borchard, Annette Mengeringhausen, Gerhard Neurohr, Lydia Westermeier, Joachim Ziegler, Sebastian Ellinghaus
Greece	Ioannis Angelis, Konstantinos Matzoukas, Petros Eleftheriadis, Ekaterini Fountoulaki
Guatemala	Antonio Arenales Forno, Federico Urruela Prado, Ricardo Díaz-Duque, Sylvia Wohlers de Meie
Guinea	Cyrille Conde
Haiti	Claudy Gassant
Holy See	Dominique Rézeau, Agustin Vaz Guerrero
Hungary	László Gál, Sándor Virág, Ákos Borai, Attila Zsigmond, Gizella Vas, Andrea Igazi, Beáta Gurmai, Ákos Kara, Györgyi Karsai
India	D. D. Gupta, A. N. Prasad, Jayant Umranikar, S. Bishnoi, P. K. Chaudhary

Indonesia	Romli Atmasasmita, Sapartini S. Kuntjoro Jakti, Diar Nurbintoro, Odo Rene Mathew Manuhutu
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	Mehdi Danesh-Yazdi, Fariborz Bakhtiariasl, Ali Hajigholam Saryazdi, Mahmoud Khani Joyabadi
Iraq	Janan Faris
Ireland	Thelma Doran, Nicholas Twist, Séan Hughes, Brian Lucas
Italy	Vincenzo Manno, Giulio Prigioni, Gioacchino Polimeni, Umberto de Augustinis, Roberta Barberini, Elisabetta Cesqui, Francesca Marcelli, Elisabetta Rosi, Gualtiero Michelini, Ennio di Francesco, Stefano Meconi, Ludovica Soderini, Alfredo Nunzi
Japan	Masayoshi Kamohara, Michiaki Ozaki, Kiyoshi Koinuma, Kuniko Ozaki, Hideaki Suzuki, Yukio Kai, Keisuke Senta, Katsuhiro Hirokami, Katsunori Imai, Koshi Yamasaki, Naoki Onishi, Nobuhiro Watanabe, Yuichi Noi, Katsuto Sugawara, Mika Yoshikai, Yoshiyuki Ishiwata, Tomonobu Kaya
Jordan	Mohammed El-Hawamdeh, Abdel Mahdi Dmour, Leena Al-Hadid
Kazakhstan	A. A. Akhmetov
Kenya	Micahel D. Kintanjui, Florence T. Ochieng
Kuwait	Abdulhamid Ali, Jasen Al-Budaiwi
Lebanon	William Habib, Emile Fayad, Nabil Merei, Béchara Bechara, Caroline Ziadé
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	Said Abdulaati, Faisal Elshaeri
Liechtenstein	Patrick Ritter
Lithuania	Šarūnas Adomavičius, Jurga Kasputiene
Luxembourg	Georges Santer, Claudine Konsbruck, Katja Kremer Martine Solovieff, Pierre Franck, Pierre Ferring
Madagascar	Maurice Randrianame
Malawi	C. D. Chiwanga
Malaysia	Ahmad Anwar Adnan, Muniandy Kannyapan, Haslinda Mohammad Tajudin
Mali	Boubacar Baba Diarra, Mohamed Maiga
Malta	Noel Buttigieg Scicluna, Carmel A. Agius, Mario Buttigieg
Mauritania	Sidi Abdoullah Braham
Mexico	Olga Pellicer Silva, Luis Alfonso de Alba, María Isabel Garza Hurtado, Joel Hernandez, Sergio Olivar Moctezuma, Vanessa Patiño Bonnemarie

Morocco	Abdellatif Saadi, M'hammed Abdenabaoui, Jamal El Hadari, Mohammed Abkari
Mozambique	Fernando Manhiça, Zainadine Dalsuco, Pedro Sinai Nhatitima, Abdel Chicalia, Lourenço Mavie
Myanmar	Sit Aye
Namibia	Lineekela J. Mboti, H. L. Awaseb
Nepal	Usha Nepal
Netherlands	Jaap Ramaker, Marjorie Bonn, Jan Peek, Peter Brouns, Ivo Ottens, Michiel Bierkens
New Zealand	Joan Mosley, Nazla Carmine, Paul Oertly
Nicaragua	Alberto Altamirano Lacayo
Niger	Abdou Adamou
Nigeria	Abdul Bin Rimdap, Ngozi Obiageli Jipreze, Sunday Ehindero, A. Y. Shehu, Adelakun Abel Ayoko
Norway	Helga Hernes, Fredrik Böckman Finstad, Ola Rambjør Heidi, Gjermund Saether
Oman	Ahmed Said Al-Hassani, Said Nasser Al-Siyabi
Pakistan	Shaukat Umer, Ross Masud, Iffat Imran Gardezi
Panama	Jorge Enrique Halpen Pérez
Peru	Javier Paulinich Velarde, Liliam Ballón de Amézaga, Raúl Loarte Ramos, Eduardo Bernales Meza, Manuel Alvarez Espinal
Philippines	Victor G. Garcia III, Leandro R. Mendoza, Antonio L. Villamor, Maria Cleofe R. Natividad, Constancio R. Vingno Jr., Mary Anne A. Padua
Poland	Janusz Rydzkowski, Mariusz Skowroński, Michal Plachta, Zbigniew Wrona, Beata Ziorkiewicz, Edyta Lapinska
Portugal	Carlos Pais, Mário Gomes Dias, Euclides Dâmaso Simões, Maria José de Matos, Maria do Carmo Costa, António Folgado
Qatar	Abdullah Youssef Al-Mal, Sourayih Rashid Al-Kha'abi, Salem Sakr Said Al-Mreikhy
Republic of Korea	Chung Hae-moon, Choi Hong-ghi, Che Dong-hwan
Romania	Ionuţ Suseanu
Russian Federation	Sergei N. Karev, Valery V. Loshchinin, Mikhail I. Kalinin, Andrey V. Koublba, Georgiy G. Mutchitidze, Sergei P. Bulavin, Victor G. Baranov, Valentin I. Mikhailov, Valery A. Kolodjazhny, Alexander V. Zinevitch, Sergey V. Zemskiy, Andrei Y. Averin, Maxim V. Kotelnikov
Rwanda	Busingye Johnston
São Tomé and Principe	Angela de Barros Lima

Saudi Arabia	Abdul Rahim M. Al-Ghamdi, Omar Mohammad Kurdi, Fahad N. Al-Manna, Abdullah A. Al-Yousef, Abdulrahman Bin Mohamed Al-Jarallah, Mohammad Abdul Aziz Al-Mehizea, Mohammad Nasser Alowlah, Saoud Al-Mutlaq, Raed Hamed Al-Enazi
Singapore	Mathew Joseph, Ee Pin Marcus Song
Slovakia	Oksana Tomová, Cecília Kandráčová, Vladimír Kotulič, Pavol Slopovský, František Miklík, Jozef Szabó, Metod Špaček
Slovenia	Peter Japelj
South Africa	N. S. Schoombie, Peter Gastrow, P. Smith, K. Strydom, N. P. Notutela
Spain	Antonio Núñez García-Saúco, Pilar Ruiz-Carnicero, Ignacio Baylina Ruiz, José Enrique Díaz Díaz, Francisco Javier González Ibañez, José María de las Cuevas Carretero, Alberto Laguia Arrazola, Fernando Rosado
Sri Lanka	H. M. G. R. R. K. Wijeratne-Mendis, L. G. Piyasiri
Sudan	Abdel Ghaffar A. Hassan, Abdel Nasir Abdel Aziz Ahmed, Isaac Chinkok Kenti
Swaziland	Melusie Martin Masuku
Sweden	Örjan Landelius, Håkan Öberg, Christian Berg
Switzerland	Lorenzo Schnyder von Wartensee, Anita Marfurt, Olivier Thormann, Françoise Nicati, Peter Müller
Syrian Arab Republic	Abboud Al Sarraj, Warif Halabi
Thailand	Sorayouth Prompoj, Karn Chiranond, Wanchai Roujanavong, Morakot Sriswasdi, Suphanvasa Chotikajan, Rongvudhi Virabutr
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	Ognen Maleski, Margarita Trajkova, Trpe Stojanovski, Zan Jovanovski
Togo	Joachim Bénivi Beni-Locco
Trinidad and Tobago	Lauren Boodhoo
Tunisia	Abdelaziz Chaabane, Amor Mansour, Nabil Ammar
Turkey	Aydin Şahinbaş, A. Asim Arar, M. Aydin Özbay, Nilüfer Erdem Kaygisiz, Rafet Ufuk Önder, Metin Eksi
Ukraine	Anatoly Shcherba, Vyacheslav Knyazhnytsky, Viktoria Kuvshynnykova
United Arab Emirates	Humaid Ali Musabah Al Muhairi, Abdulrahim Mohamed Sinkais Al-Awadi, Abdullah Yusuf Al Shamsi, Mohamed Ali Al Shehi
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	John Freeman, Richard Bradley, Annabelle Bolt, Laurence Fiddler, David Lusher, Richard Maynard

United States of America	Elizabeth G. Verville, Laura E. Kennedy, Mary Ellen Warlow, Kathleen W. Barmon, Jeffrey Bullwinkle, Mary Helen Carlson, Danny Glaser, Kenneth Harris, Kenneth Propp, S. Gail Robertson, Herbert Traub, Julie Frenette
Uruguay	Jorge Tálice, Fructuoso Pittaluga-Fonseca, Gustavo Alvarez Goyoaga, Elena Fajardo
Uzbekistan	Maman Ismailov
Venezuela	Mary Silva Paván, Ernesto Navazio
Viet Nam	Nguyen Ba Son, Tran Dinh Nha, Nguyen Van Tuan, Hoang Thi Ninh, Bui Manh Cuong, Nguyen Pham Kim Chi, Pham Thu Huong
Yemen	Hassan M. Makki, Ahmed Alwan Mulhi, Nageeb Khalil Ibrahim, Faisal Fadhl Hassan, Nageeb Ismail Ali
Zimbabwe	P. Mphoko

Entities represented by observers

Palestine

United Nations

Office for Drug Control and Crime Prevention, Economic Commission for Europe, United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

United Nations bodies and institutes of the United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme network

International Institute of Higher Studies in Criminal Sciences

Other intergovernmental organizations

Asian-African Legal Consultative Committee, Commonwealth Secretariat, Council of Arab Ministers of the Interior, Council of the European Union, European Commission, Financial Action Task Force, International Centre for Migration Policy Development, International Criminal Police Organization, International Organization For Migration, Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe, Sovereign Military Order of Malta

Non-governmental organizations

General consultative status

Caritas Internationalis (International Confederation of Catholic Charities), International Abolitionist Federation, International Council of Women, International Federation of Business and Professional Women, Soroptimist International, Zonta International

Special consultative status

Asia Crime Prevention Foundation, European Women's Lobby, International Commission of Jurists, International Council on Alcohol and Addictions, International Federation of University Women, Italian Centre of Solidarity, Japan Federation of Bar Associations, National Council of German Women's Organizations/Federal Union of Women's Organizations and Women's Groups of German Associations, Pax Romana (International Catholic Movement for Intellectual and Cultural Affairs) (International Movement of Catholic Students)

Annex II

List of documents before the Ad Hoc Committee at its tenth session

Document symbol	*Title or description*
A/AC.254/4/Rev.9	Revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/5/Adds.20, 23 and 26	Proposals and contributions received from Governments on the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/31	Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Elaboration of a Convention against Transnational Organized Crime on its ninth session, held in Vienna from 5 to 16 June 2000
A/AC.254/32	Annotated provisional agenda and proposed organization of work for the tenth session of the Ad Hoc Committee
A/AC.254/33	Official records (*travaux préparatoires*) of the negotiation of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.190	Canada and Germany: amendment to article 10 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.213	United States of America: amendments to articles 9 and 10 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.214	Egypt: amendment to article 2 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.216	United States of America: amendments to article 2 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.217	European Commission: amendments to article 2 *bis* and 26 to 29 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.218	Canada: amendment to article 14 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.219	India: amendments to articles 2 *bis* and 4 *bis* of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.220	Recommendation of the informal working group on article 14, paragraph 2, of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, submitted at the request of the Chairman
A/AC.254/L.221	France: amendment to article 14 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime

Document symbol	*Title or description*
A/AC.254/L.222	Recommendation of the informal working group on article 14, paragraph 7, of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, submitted at the request of the Chairman
A/AC.254/L.223	Peru: amendments to the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.224/Rev.1	Draft resolution on the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime: proposal submitted by the Chairman
A/AC.254/L.225	Netherlands: amendments to articles 7 and 7 *bis* of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.226	Azerbaijan: amendments to articles 19, 20, 21, 21 *bis*, 22, 23 *bis*, 24, 25 and 26 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.227	Draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime: articles approved by the Ad Hoc Committee on the Elaboration of a Convention against Transnational Organized Crime from 17 to 25 July 2000
A/AC.254/L.228	China: amendment to the draft resolution on the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime contained in document A/AC.254/L.224
A/AC.254/L.229	Colombia: amendment to the draft resolution on the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime contained in document A/AC.254/L.224
A/AC.254/L.230	Draft report
A/AC.254/L.230/Add.1	Draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.230/Add.2	Interpretative notes for the official records (*travaux préparatoires*) of the negotiation of the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.230/Add.3	Revised draft resolution on the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime
A/AC.254/L.230/Add.4	Draft provisional agenda and proposed organization of work for the eleventh session of the Ad Hoc Committee on the Elaboration of a Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, to be held in Vienna from 2 to 27 October 2000
A/AC.254/L.231	European Union: amendments to the draft resolution on the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime contained in document A/AC.254/L.224
A/AC.254/L.232	Egypt: amendment to the draft resolution on the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime contained in document A/AC.254/L.224

Document symbol	*Title or description*
A/AC.254/L.233	Recommendations of the informal working group on articles 10 and 14 of the revised draft United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, submitted at the request of the Chairman
A/AC.254/L.234	Amendments to the revised draft resolution on the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime contained in document A/AC.254/L.224/Rev.1, submitted by the Chairman
A/AC.254/CG/1	Non-paper: Articles 10 and 10 *bis* of the draft Convention
A/AC.254/CG/2	Non-paper: Articles 14, 14 *bis*, 15 and 16 of the draft Convention
A/AC.254/CG/3	Non-paper: Articles 19-30 of the draft Convention